

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	Section 5.3	Paragraph	64	Policy	policy HO3
---------	-------------	-----------	----	--------	------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

More than 25% of the District's new homes will be built on green belt and for Ilkley this will be at least 55%

yet the National Planning framework says that:

- "the government attaches great importance to green belts" (NPPF para 79)

The plan for 800 new houses designated for Ilkley is totally unsustainable.

It is proposed that green belt land could be taken for the building of these houses.

There are brown field sites which should be considered as a primary source of land.

The only available green field sites would encroach on the space East and West of the town since SSSI and AONB designated areas exist both North and South of Ilkley. To develop East and West would create a ribbon development not encouraged in this area where it is important to maintain the integrity of separate and specific towns or villages.

The medical provision is already overloaded

The schools have insufficient spare capacity to enrol new pupils. The provision for secondary education does not meet current needs.

The roads are unable to safely accommodate increased volume of traffic

Parking in Ilkley is insufficient to accommodate current needs and Bradford hasn't identified a solution to this

"once established, green belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances"
(NPPF para 83)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
X	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To reiterate the above

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

30 March 2014